

A CLOSED, EXPANDING UNIVERSE IN STRING THEORY

CHIARA R. NAPPI[★] AND EDWARD WITTEN[†]

*School of Natural Sciences
Institute for Advanced Study
Olden Lane
Princeton, NJ 08540*

ABSTRACT

We present a conformal field theory – obtained from a gauged WZW model – that describes a closed, inhomogeneous expanding and recollapsing universe in $3 + 1$ dimensions. A possible violation of cosmic censorship is avoided because the universe recollapses just when a naked singularity was about to form. The model has been chosen to have $c = 4$ (or $\widehat{c} = 4$ in the supersymmetric case), just like four dimensional Minkowski space.

[★] Research supported in part by the Ambrose Monell Foundation.

[†] Research supported in part by NSF Grant PHY91-06210.

Gauged WZW models are one of the few techniques we know for constructing conformal field theories that describe time dependent solutions of string theories. This approach was considered by Bars [1]; the $SU(2)/U(1)$ model was studied by Bardakci et. al. to get a sigma model approach to parafermions [2]; one of us studied the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/U(1)$ model and showed that it described a two dimensional black hole [3]. Since then, there have been many other papers [4–13].[‡]

The two dimensional black hole is a solution of $D = 2$ string theory. Clearly, it is of interest to find a conformal field theories that describe alternative solutions of the same string theories that are used to build more or less realistic models of particle physics. In applying string theory to the real world, one represents the vacuum by $M^4 \times K$, where M^4 is four dimensional Minkowski space represented by a free field theory with $c = 4$ (or $\widehat{c} = 4$, supersymmetrically) and K is some internal space represented by a conformal field theory of appropriate central charge. To find a realistic cosmological solution, it suffices to find conformal field theory with four dimensional target space N and $c = 4$, so that $M^4 \times K$ can be replaced by $N \times K$.

Moreover, though the possible formation of singularities is one of the questions of interest, we do not want the singularities to be “built in” in the initial conditions. We want N to admit a smooth, complete initial value hypersurface.

In this paper, we will describe one example satisfying these conditions. The model turns out to describe an anisotropic expanding and contracting closed universe. The topology of a spatial slice is $\mathbf{S}^3$, the three-sphere. The three-sphere expands from an initial singularity at which the metric vanishes in one of the three directions and the volume therefore vanishes, and collapses to a final singularity in which the metric vanishes in a different one of the three directions, so that the volume again vanishes. The recollapse occurs just at the moment that a naked singularity was about to form.

[‡] In particular, [11] and [12] discuss different real forms of the coset we will analyze, not directly leading to the cosmological solution. This coset has also been mentioned by several other authors without analyzing its content.

We take the target space to be $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SU(2)$, of dimension six. For a WZW model at levels (k', k) , the central charge would be

$$c_0 = \frac{3k'}{k' + 2} + \frac{3k}{k + 2}. \quad (1)$$

We will take the gauge group H to be a two dimensional abelian subgroup of $G_L \times G_R$, so as to end up with a four dimensional model. Gauging H will reduce the central charge by two, so we want $c_0 = 6$. This gives the relation between k and k' that we wish to impose. However, in practice all we will do with the model in this paper is to determine the space-time geometry in the field theory limit of astronomically large k and k' . To this end, the condition $c_0 = 6$ can be reduced to $k' = -k$, which will give some minor simplifications in the formulas.

H must be chosen to respect a condition of anomaly cancellation, or the gauged WZW model will not exist. This condition is as follows. Let Tr be the trace on the $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SU(2)$ Lie algebra given by $\text{Tr} = k' \text{tr}_1 + k \text{tr}_2$, where tr_1 and tr_2 are the traces in the two dimensional representations of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $SU(2)$. Let T_a , $a = 1, 2$ be the generators of H , represented in $G_L \times G_R$ by matrices $(T_{a,L}, T_{a,R})$, $a = 1, 2$. Then the standard condition of anomaly cancellation is

$$\text{Tr } T_{a,L} T_{b,L} = \text{Tr } T_{a,R} T_{b,R}, \quad \text{for } a, b = 1, 2. \quad (2)$$

We also wish to pick H so as to end up with a target space of signature $-++++$. A suitable H , isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, is generated infinitesimally by the following transformation of $(g_1, g_2) \in SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SU(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_1 &= \epsilon \sigma_3 g_1 + (\tilde{\epsilon} \cos \alpha + \epsilon \sin \alpha) g_1 \sigma_3 \\ \delta g_2 &= i \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma_2 g_2 + i(-\tilde{\epsilon} \sin \alpha + \epsilon \cos \alpha) g_2 \sigma_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here ϵ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ are infinitesimal parameters, α is a free parameter that enters in

defining the model, and σ_i , $i = 1 \dots 3$, are the standard 2×2 Pauli matrices,

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

(3) characterizes an anomaly-free subgroup in the case $k = -k'$. If we set $c_0 = 6$, we would have to modify (4) by terms that vanish for $k, k' \rightarrow \infty$ and so do not affect the classical limit of the geometry.

The standard WZW action is

$$S_{WZW}(g) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^2z \operatorname{tr}[g^{-1} \partial g g^{-1} \bar{\partial} g] - \Gamma(g) \quad (5)$$

where

$$\Gamma(g) = \frac{i}{12\pi} \int_B \operatorname{tr}[g^{-1} dg \wedge g^{-1} dg \wedge g^{-1} dg]. \quad (6)$$

Above Σ is a Riemann surface, B a three-manifold with $\partial B = \Sigma$, and g may be valued in either $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $SU(2)$. To gauge the symmetry introduced above, we introduce abelian gauge fields $A, \tilde{A}$, with

$$\delta A_i = -\partial_i \epsilon \quad \delta \tilde{A}_i = -\partial_i \tilde{\epsilon}. \quad (7)$$

For $k' = -k$, the gauge invariant Lagrangian turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} L(g_1, g_2, A, \tilde{A}) = & -k S_{WZW}(g_1) + k S_{WZW}(g_2) \\ & + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z \left(A_z \operatorname{tr}[\bar{\partial} g_1 g_1^{-1} \sigma_3] + (\tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \cos \alpha + A_{\bar{z}} \sin \alpha) \operatorname{tr}[g_1^{-1} \partial g_1 \sigma_3] \right) \\ & - \frac{ik}{2\pi} \int d^2z \left(\tilde{A}_z \operatorname{tr}[\sigma_2 \bar{\partial} g_2 g_2^{-1}] + (A_{\bar{z}} \cos \alpha - \tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \sin \alpha) \operatorname{tr}[\sigma_2 g_2^{-1} \partial g_2] \right) \\ & + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z (A_z \tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \cos \alpha + A_z A_{\bar{z}} \sin \alpha) \operatorname{tr}[\sigma_3 g_1 \sigma_3 g_1^{-1}] \\ & + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z (\tilde{A}_z A_{\bar{z}} \cos \alpha - \tilde{A}_z \tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \sin \alpha) \operatorname{tr}[\sigma_2 g_2 \sigma_2 g_2^{-1}] \\ & + \frac{k}{\pi} \int d^2z (A_z A_{\bar{z}} + \tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \tilde{A}_z). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now we want to pick a gauge condition, integrate out the gauge fields, and

reduce to a sigma model with a four dimensional target space. In a suitable open set in field space, the gauge can be uniquely fixed by requiring g_1 to be of the form

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi & \sin \psi \\ -\sin \psi & \cos \psi \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

This gauge condition can be imposed precisely in that region of field space in which

$$|\operatorname{tr} \sigma_3 g_1 \sigma_3 g_1^{-1}| \leq 2. \quad (10)$$

This region of field space will lead to our cosmological model. The region with $|\operatorname{tr} \sigma_3 g_1 \sigma_3 g_1^{-1}| > 2$ can also be considered (with a different gauge condition), but leads to a model with closed timelike curves, as we will see later.

In this gauge, the above lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S = & -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2 z \partial \psi \bar{\partial} \psi - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2 z \operatorname{tr} [g_2^{-1} \partial g_2 g_2^{-1} \bar{\partial} g_2] - k\Gamma(g_2) \\ & - i \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2 z \{ \tilde{A}_z \operatorname{tr} [\sigma_2 \bar{\partial} g_2 g_2^{-1}] + (A_{\bar{z}} \cos \alpha - \tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \sin \alpha) \operatorname{tr} [\sigma_2 g_2^{-1} \partial g_2] \} \\ & + \frac{k}{\pi} \int d^2 z \cos 2\psi (A_z \tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \cos \alpha + A_z A_{\bar{z}} \sin \alpha) \\ & + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2 z (\tilde{A}_z A_{\bar{z}} \cos \alpha - \tilde{A}_z \tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \sin \alpha) \operatorname{tr} [\sigma_2 g_2 \sigma_2 g_2^{-1}] + \frac{k}{\pi} \int d^2 z (A_z A_{\bar{z}} + \tilde{A}_{\bar{z}} \tilde{A}_z). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This action is quadratic in the gauge fields, which therefore can be integrated out.

The answer is

$$\begin{aligned} S = & -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2 z \partial \psi \bar{\partial} \psi - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2 z \operatorname{tr} [g_2^{-1} \partial g_2 g_2^{-1} \bar{\partial} g_2] - k\Gamma(g_2) \\ & - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2 z \frac{\operatorname{tr} [\sigma_2 \bar{\partial} g_2 g_2^{-1}] (\cos 2\psi + \sin \alpha) \operatorname{tr} [\sigma_2 g_2^{-1} \partial g_2]}{(1 + \sin \alpha \cos 2\psi) - \frac{1}{2} (\sin \alpha + \cos 2\psi) \operatorname{tr} [g_2 \sigma_2 g_2^{-1} \sigma_2]} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

If we choose for g_2 the parametrization $g_2 = e^{i\gamma\sigma_2} e^{is\sigma_3} e^{i\beta\sigma_2}$, and evaluate $\Gamma(g_2)$ in

this coordinate system, we finally get the lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z \partial\psi \bar{\partial}\psi \\
& + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z \left[\partial s \bar{\partial} s + \cos^2 s \partial \rho \bar{\partial} \rho + \sin^2 s \partial \lambda \bar{\partial} \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2s) (\partial \rho \bar{\partial} \lambda - \bar{\partial} \rho \partial \lambda) \right] \\
& + \frac{k}{\pi} \int d^2z \left[\frac{(\cos 2\psi + \sin \alpha)(\cos^2 s \partial \rho - \sin^2 s \partial \lambda)(\cos^2 s \bar{\partial} \rho + \sin^2 s \bar{\partial} \lambda)}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\rho = \gamma + \beta$ and $\lambda = \gamma - \beta$. This can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2z \left[-\partial\psi \bar{\partial}\psi + \partial s \bar{\partial} s + \partial \rho \bar{\partial} \rho \frac{2 \cos^2 s \cos^2 \psi (1 + \sin \alpha)}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)} \right. \\
& + \partial \lambda \bar{\partial} \lambda \frac{2 \sin^2 s \sin^2 \psi (1 - \sin \alpha)}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)} \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \rho \bar{\partial} \lambda - \partial \lambda \bar{\partial} \rho) \frac{(\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (1 - \cos 2s \cos 2\psi)}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By identifying this Lagrangian with the σ -model action of the form

$$S = \int d^2z (G_{MN} + B_{MN}) \partial X_M \bar{\partial} X_N, \tag{15}$$

one can read off the background space-time metric and antisymmetric tensor field.

The geometry in particular is described by a Lorentz signature diagonal metric

G_{MN} where (with a common factor $\frac{k}{2\pi}$ removed)

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\psi\psi} &= -1 \\
G_{ss} &= 1 \\
G_{\rho\rho} &= \frac{2 \cos^2 s \cos^2 \psi (1 + \sin \alpha)}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)} \\
G_{\lambda\lambda} &= \frac{2 \sin^2 s \sin^2 \psi (1 - \sin \alpha)}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The antisymmetric tensor field can similarly be read off from (14).

The dilaton background can be derived either by following Buscher [14, 15] and doing properly the gauge field integration in (11) or by imposing the equations for the background fields derived by the string effective action, which are as we recall

$$R_{MN} + 2\nabla_M \nabla_N \phi - \frac{1}{4} H_{MN}^2 = 0.$$

Either way, the result is

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{2} \ln[(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)]. \quad (17)$$

Now, we want to think of this world as a cosmological model, with ψ as the time parameter, running in the range $0 \leq \psi \leq \pi/2$. At $\psi = 0$, the universe begins from a collapsed state, since $G_{\lambda\lambda} = 0$. At $\psi = \pi/2$, the universe again collapses, since then $G_{\rho\rho} = 0$. Because of the factor of $k/2\pi$ that has been suppressed above, the time scale for the expansion and recontraction is proportional to k . The maximum spatial extent of the universe is reached at $\psi \sim \pi/4$ and is again of order k .

Now let us discuss the nature of the big bang singularity in the model. First let us discuss why there is such a singularity. The integrated form of the gauge transformation law is

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &\rightarrow \exp(\epsilon\sigma_3)g_1 \exp(\tilde{\epsilon} \cos \alpha\sigma_3 + \epsilon \sin \alpha\sigma_3) \\ g_2 &\rightarrow \exp(i\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma_2)g_2 \exp(i\epsilon \cos \alpha\sigma_2 - i\tilde{\epsilon} \sin \alpha\sigma_2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

For simplicity let us take $\alpha = 0$. Setting $\epsilon = 2\pi n$, $\tilde{\epsilon} = 2\pi m$, with n and m integers, gives a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of the gauge group under which g_2 is invariant. At $\psi = 0$, g_1 is invariant under the subgroup with $n = -m$, and at $\psi = \pi/2$, g_1 is invariant under the subgroup with $n = m$. So the hypersurfaces $\psi = 0$, $\psi = \pi/2$ are orbifold singularities of infinite order, each invariant under a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to verify that $\psi = 0$ and $\psi = \pi/2$ are similarly orbifold singularities for any α such that $\cos \alpha/(1 + \sin \alpha)$ is a rational number. For other α 's $\psi = 0, \pi/2$ are not quite orbifold singularities but points that are arbitrarily close to being

left invariant by suitable elements of the gauge group (found by approximating $\cos \alpha / (1 + \sin \alpha)$ by rational numbers n/m). This corresponds to a more subtle type of singularity in the action of the gauge group (possible only for actions of non-compact groups and therefore possibly unfamiliar).

If $\psi = 0$ and $s = 0$ or $\psi = \pi/2$ and $s = \pi/2$, then the pair (g_1, g_2) is actually invariant under a continuous subgroup of the gauge group (for instance, $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon \cdot \cos \alpha / (1 - \sin \alpha)$ for $\psi = s = 0$). Precisely at $\psi = s = 0$ or $\psi = s = \pi/2$, the dilaton field ϕ blows up, according to equation (17) above; and other invariant measures of the physics similarly blow up at $\psi = s = 0$ or $\psi = s = \pi/2$. This is in keeping with the fact that in constructions of gauged WZW models, fixed points of continuous symmetry groups typically give rise to space-time singularities; for instance, this is the origin of the singularity of the two dimensional black hole of [3]. Since the singularities at $\psi = s = 0$ and $\psi = s = \pi/2$ are only present at one particular value of the “time” ψ , they might appear as candidates for naked singularities violating cosmic censorship. What prevents this interpretation is precisely that the whole universe collapses at the time when the naked singularity would have appeared. Whether naked singularities form from non-singular initial data in other gauged WZW models is an interesting question to which we hope to return.

For $\psi = 0$ or $\pi/2$ and generic s , one has not a curvature singularity, but a kind of orbifold singularity as explained above. This type of orbifold singularity appears in examples of Misner and Taub-NUT; for a full explanation see the book of Hawking and Ellis [16, pp.170-178]. Just as in that example, it is possible to continue past $\psi = 0$ or $\psi = \pi/2$; the continued metric is smooth (away from the singularities noted above at special values of s) but has closed timelike curves. For instance, the continuation past $\psi = 0$ can be made by taking the gauge condition to be not the one we used above but instead, for instance,

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{1+x} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The point of this is that the one invariant, $W = \text{Tr } \sigma_3 g_1 \sigma_3 g_1^{-1}$, is equal to $2(1-x)/(1+x)$. For x positive, one has $W \leq 2$, just as with the gauge choice used above, but one can continue to $W > 2$ just by letting x become negative. The singularity that one might expect at $W = 2$ is avoided with the gauge choice (19) because that value of W is attained not for $g_1 = 1$ – dangerous because of the orbifold phenomenon noted above – but for

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

which is not an orbifold point.[★] Therefore, with the gauge (19) one can continue from $W < 2$ to $W > 2$ without encountering a singularity at $W = 2$ (except for $s = 0$).

We can make all this explicit. The gauge transformation (18) from (9) to (19) is achieved by taking

$$\epsilon = -\frac{\cos \alpha}{1 + \sin \alpha} \tilde{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\sin \psi}{\cos \psi} \quad x = \frac{\sin^2 \psi}{\cos^2 \psi}$$

The corresponding change of coordinates is

$$\lambda \rightarrow \lambda' = \lambda - \frac{1 + \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \ln \frac{\sin \psi}{\cos \psi} \quad \psi \rightarrow x = \frac{\sin^2 \psi}{\cos^2 \psi}$$

In terms of these new coordinates the metric reads

$$\begin{aligned} dl^2 = & \frac{1}{4} \frac{\cos^6 \psi}{\sin^2 \psi} \left[\frac{2 \sin^2 s (1 + \sin \alpha)}{\cos^2 \psi ((1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s))} - 1 \right] dx^2 \\ & + ds^2 + d\lambda'^2 \frac{2 \sin^2 s \sin^2 \psi (1 - \sin \alpha)}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)} \\ & + d\lambda' dx \frac{2 \sin^2 s \cos^2 \psi \cos \alpha}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)} \\ & + d\rho^2 \frac{2 \cos^2 s \cos^2 \psi (1 + \sin \alpha)}{(1 - \cos 2\psi \cos 2s) + \sin \alpha (\cos 2\psi - \cos 2s)} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

★ The matrix in (20) cannot be distinguished from $g_1 = 1$ by any continuous gauge invariant function, since it can be conjugated arbitrarily close to $g_1 = 1$ by a gauge transformation. This is another phenomenon that is possible only for non-compact groups.

The main point is that (21) is regular at $x = 0$ and so continues without problem to negative x . At $x < 0$, however, there are closed time-like curves. Indeed, the coefficient of $d\lambda'^2$ vanishes at $x = 0$ and is negative for $x < 0$, so for $x < 0$, the circle corresponding to the periodicity $\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda' + 2\pi$ is a closed timelike curve. Hence – as was anticipated above – away from special values of s the big bang singularity in the model is not a curvature singularity, but a singularity in the causal structure of the space-time.

From $x = \tan^2 \psi$, we see that negative x corresponds to imaginary ψ . Therefore, instead of the change of variables by which we obtained (21), we could have found the geometry for $W > 2$ (but not the smooth continuation from $W < 2$ to $W > 2$) by setting $\psi = i\tilde{\psi}$ in equation (16).

Note Added. A. Giveon has pointed out to us that our solution can also be obtained by an $O(2, 2; R)$ transformation [17] of (a suitable real form of) a product of two dimensional Lorentzian and Euclidean black holes. A related observation was also made in [11].

REFERENCES

1. I. Bars, Nucl. Phys. **B334** (1990) 125; I. Bars and D. Nemeschansky, Nucl. Phys. **B348** (1991) 89.
2. K. Bardakci, E. Rabinovici, and B. Saring, Nucl. Phys. **B299** (1988) 151; A. Altschuler, K. Bardakci, and E. Rabinovici, Comm. Math. Phys. **B118** (1988) 241.
3. E. Witten, “String Theory And Black Holes,” Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 314.
4. P. Ginsparg and F. Quevedo, “Strings On Curved Space-Times: Black Holes, Torsion, and Duality” LA-UR-92-640.
5. N. Ishibashi, M. Li, and A. R. Steif, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 3336.
6. J. H. Horne, G. T. Horowitz, and A. R. Stief, Santa Barbara preprint UCSB-TH-91-53.

7. J. H. Horne and G. T. Horowitz, Nucl. Phys. B **368** (1192) 444.
8. S. K. Kar, S. P. Khastgar, and G. Sengupta, IP/BBSR92-35.
9. S. Mahapatra, Tata Institute preprint (May, 1992).
10. I. Bars and K. Sfetsos, “Global Analysis Of New Gravitational Singularities In String And Particle Theories” USC-92/HEP-B1.
11. P. Horava, “Some Exact Solutions Of String Theory In Four And Five Dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **278** (1992) 101.
12. D. Gershon, “Exact Solutions Of Four-Dimensional Black Holes In String Theory” TAUP-1937-91.
13. C. Kounnas and D. Lust, “Cosmological String Backgrounds From Gauged WZW-models” CERN-TH-6494-92.
14. T. H. Buscher, Phys. Lett. **B201** (1988) 466.
15. E.B.Kiritsis, Mod. Phys.Lett. **A6**, 2871 (1991).
16. S. Hawking and G.F.R.Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge University Press, 1973
17. A.Giveon and M. Rocek, Generalized Duality in Curved String-Backgrounds, IASSNS-91/84